

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				U
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/448,0	55 11/23/	99 KODAMA	K	990612/LH
Γ			7	EXAMINER
		PM82/0222	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN LANGER AND CHICK			KMC	ALLISTER S
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
	NY 10017-2		21	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

02/22/01

Application No. 09/448,055

Applicant(s)

Kodama et al

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Steven B. McAllister

Group Art Unit 2167



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been				
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)				
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the $\square$	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	·				
<ul> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)				
<ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>	3				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	,				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09/448,055 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to a method for assembling a product database, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
  - II. Claim 13, drawn to a method for assembling a by-product database, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
  - III. Claim 14, drawn to a storage media, classified in class 360, subclass 131.
  - IV. Claim 15, drawn to a manufacturing engineering information management system, classified in class 700, subclass 90.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as to enable tracking of byproducts that are unrelated to the product manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/448,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a recording medium to record

5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a recording medium to record

any data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

any data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 6. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as storing data unrelated to a manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as storing data unrelated to a manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 8. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as an independent data storage mode. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/448,055

Art Unit: 3652

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Steven B. McAllister

February 15, 2001

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 2100

Cehbeleilos

Page 4